



Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

Instructions:

A Professional Engineer, licensed in the State of Colorado, must complete and sign this form as the Engineer of Record (EOR). By doing so, the EOR is certifying that the PDR submittal is complete and accurate per the items listed on this checklist, and in accordance with the latest version of Aurora's *Storm Drainage and Technical Criteria* (SDDTC).

This checklist shall be uploaded as a separate file to the Planning Department portal, along with the preliminary drainage report and plan. This checklist shall be used as a guidance document only and shall not be considered comprehensive for submittal requirements. Site Plan will not be advanced for review until the PDR submittal is complete. Preliminary Drainage Report will be limited to three (3) reviews, additional review fees will apply to the fourth (4th) review.

This checklist is also to be used for Preliminary Drainage Amendments, Preliminary Drainage Letters and Preliminary Drainage Letters of Conformance. Select "N/A" where appropriate.

| Applicant & Project Information | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Platted Subdivision or Site Plan Name: | | | |
| Engineering Company: | | Phone: | 303-444-1951 |
| EOR Name: | | E-mail: | |
| Owner Company: | | Phone: | 303-326-2115 |
| Owner Contact Name: | | E-mail: | |
| If part of a Master Planned Development provide EDN for MDR: | | Site area in acres: | |

I hereby certify that this Preliminary Drainage Report is complete per the items listed on this checklist, and in accordance with the latest version of Aurora's Storm Drainage and Technical Criteria (SDDTC).

Engineer of Record Printed Name

Signature

Date

Engineer of Record Title



Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Reviewer Information (to be completed by Aurora Water staff) | | | |
|--|--|---------|--|
| Name: | | E-mail: | |
| Date: | | Result: | |
| Submittal Type: | | | |
| Referrals Required: | | | |
| Notes: | | | |
| | | | |

X below item number indicates deficiency. For AW staff use only.

| Item | Description | Included | Not Applicable |
|------|---|----------|----------------|
| 01 | A drainage kick-off meeting is required for all PDR submittals that contain regional infrastructure. The requirement to hold this meeting will be identified in the pre-application notes, or by direct communication with AW drainage staff. If a kick-off meeting is required, meeting minutes shall be prepared by the applicant, reviewed by all attendees, and included in the appendix of the PDR. | | |
| 02 | Preliminary Drainage Plan. JVA RESPONSE: NAME OF PLAN SET AND PDR ARE THE FULL PLATTED SUBDIVISION NAME. SUBDIVISION NAME IS INCLUDED IN THE PDR TITLEBLOCK | | |
| 03 | Drainage Plan. JVA RESPONSE: NAME OF PLAN SET AND PDR ARE THE FULL PLATTED SUBDIVISION NAME. SUBDIVISION NAME IS INCLUDED IN THE PDR TITLEBLOCK | | |
| 04 | The name of the PDR and plan set shall be the full platted subdivision name. If a replat is proposed with the site plan, the name shall be the proposed re-platted subdivision name. The platted subdivision name shall be noted on the cover page of the PDR and included in the title block on each sheet of the plan set. | | |
| 05 | Drainage design for adjacent roadways included. Drainage design must match what is shown on the Site Plan, and what improvements | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Item | Description | Included | Not Applicable |
|---------------|--|----------|----------------|
| | were identified in the pre-application notes. Required even if roadway construction is deferred. | | |
| 06 | Project Phasing Shown. If the project is to be phased, then phasing must be described in PDR report text and shown on plan. Hydrologic analysis of different phases may be required, and multiple plan sheets may be needed. | | |
| Report | | | |
| 07 | COA approval block must appear on the report cover. | | |
| 08 | Required report outline from Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria (SDDTC) Section 2.4.3 followed. | | |
| 09 | Advisory note that PDR approval is required prior to Civil Plan Approval must appear in report. Include in report | | |
| 10 | Discuss any changes made to the project to address any conditional approval comments or PDR plan sheets. JVA RESPONSE: ADVISORY NOTE ADDED TO ENGINEER'S STATEMENT PAGE | | |
| 11 | If variances are requested, see SDDTC Chapter 5 for guidance. If there are no variances requested, state "No variances requested." | | |
| 12 | Identify any off-site basins and describe their impacts to the existing property. | | |
| 13 | Watersheds with Special Requirements must be identified and their requirements considered in the design. These basins are: Aurora Reservoir, Cherry Creek Reservoir, High Line Canal, and Peterson Subdivision. | | |
| 14 | Identify all outfalls. JVA RESPONSE: FLOWS ROUTED IN RATIONAL CALCULATIONS. SEE UPDATED CALCS IN APPENDIX | | |
| 15 | Selected hydraulic calculation methods are consistent with SDDTC, MHFD criteria and sound engineering practice. | | |
| 16 | Hydrologic calculations are documented in report appendix. Basins such as OS1 & OS3, and P1 - P4 should have routed flows, among others. | | |
| 17 | Imperviousness values must be based on SDDTC Chapter 5. | | |
| 18 | Confirm routed/accumulated flows are computed at critical locations (ponds, storm inlets, etc.) including design points. | | |
| 19 | Selected hydraulic calculation methods are consistent with SDDTC, MHFD criteria and sound engineering practice. | | |
| 20 | Hydraulic calculations are fully documented in report appendix. | | |
| 21 | Calculations for sizing of detention and other SCMs are fully documented in report appendix. MHFD design spreadsheets included. | | |
| 22 | Criteria and methodology for establishing drainageway corridor widths is appropriate and fully documented. | | |
| 23 | FEMA or FHAD floodplains are identified, and anticipated Letters of Map Change are listed. | | |
| 24 | Indicate whether proposed infrastructure is public (i.e., maintained by CoA) or private. | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Item | Description | JVA RESPONSE: BASIN DESCRIPTIONS HAVE BEEN UPDATED. SEE SECTION D.2 | Included | Not Applicable |
|---------------|---|--|----------|--|
| 25 | Coordination with adjacent property owner documented. | | | |
| 26 | Each basin or sub-basin is described in words. | See comments in notes | | |
| 27 | Provide a table summarizing the percent impervious, runoff coefficient values for minor and major storms, and corresponding discharges for each sub-basin. Provide design point table with accumulated and routed flows where appropriate. | | | |
| 28 | Bridge concepts are discussed. | | | |
| 29 | Emergency overflow paths for sump inlets, culverts, bridges and detention ponds are identified and analyzed. | Cross sections are required at each sump and pond overflow location and swale. Include flow, flow depth, geometry, and freeboard to LPEs. Include in plans | | |
| 30 | Swale, ditch and open channel concepts are discussed and accompanied with appropriate levels of analysis. | | | |
| 31 | Provide a table of street, drive, and alley flow capacities. Note the flow depth and street spread for the major and minor storms. | | | |
| 32 | References: List all criteria, existing drainage reports, MDR(s), CoA Master Plan(s), floodplain studies, MHFD MDPs, etc. used in the report. Provide citation information including author and date of reference. Note the EDN for RSN for CoA-approved or currently in review stage documents | JVA RESPONSE: CROSS SECTIONS ARE PROVIDED AT EACH SUMP AND POND OVERFLOW LOCATION AND SWALE. | | |
| 33 | NRCS Soils Report with hydrologic soil groups delineated and the | JVA RESPONSE: NOAA VALUES HAVE BEEN HIGHLIGHTED IN APPENDIX | | |
| 34 | One-hour point precipitation depths for all design events per NOAA Atlas 14. | Highlight values used | | |
| 35 | FEMA FIRM or FIRMette with the site boundaries delineated. | | | |
| 36 | Airport Detention Pond Buffer Zone with the site boundaries delineated. | | | |
| 37 | Excerpts from previously approved reports, plans, etc. Annotate to highlight relevant information. Note the EDN or RSN where | JVA RESPONSE: INADVERTENTLY CHECKED AS INCLUDED. STORM MODEL WILL BE PROVIDED WITH FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT | | |
| 38 | Printout of storm model results for P and SWMM, must be included in Appendix. | | | |
| 39 | Electronic copies of models used in above analysis. Provide all input and output files. All submitted models are executable. If required files exceed the limitations of upload portal, send by e-mail or download link to AuroraWaterDrainage@auroragov.org . | Not included. Provide | | |
| Drainage Plan | | | | |
| 40 | Drainage Plan must be formatted as a full-size drawing (24" x 36" or 22" x 34") and uploaded as a separate file. | | | See comment in notes |
| 41 | COA approval block must appear only on the first sheet of the drainage plan. | | | JVA RESPONSE: DRAINAGE PLAN UPLOADED AS SEPARATE FILE AND COA APPROVAL BLOCK ADDED |
| 42 | No copyright notes may appear on the plan. | | | |
| 43 | Include note from SDDTC Section 2.6 (General Conformance), as follows: | | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Item | Description | Included | Not Applicable |
|------|---|---|---|
| | City of Aurora plan review is only for general conformance with City of Aurora Design Criteria and the City Code. The City is not responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the design, of dimensions and elevations which must be confirmed and correlated at the job site. The City of Aurora, through the approval of this document, assumes no responsibility for the completeness and/or accuracy of this document. | | |
| 44 | Include note from SDDTC Section 2.6 (Adjacent Property), as follows: Approval of this document by City of Aurora does not imply approval for any off-site work on adjacent private property. In is the owner's adjacent property owners and obtain assessments for such work. | | |
| 45 | Provide a City of Aurora vertical benchmark on all sheets containing Use the exact description as provided on the website, City's website to find benchmarks, and description, and elevation in feet NAVD88. | | |
| 46 | If the drainage concept relies upon an existing pond, include the following note from SDDTC Section 2.6 (Pond Recertification): Applicant understands recertification may be required. If a pond certificate, an executed I&M plan, or drainage easements do not exist, the applicant will be required to provide these prior to civil plan approval. | | |
| 47 | Legend showing all symbols, linetypes, and fills/hatches used on the plan. Exclude any symbols, linetypes, and/or fills/hatches not used on the plan. | | |
| 48 | Vicinity map. None provided | JVA RESPONSE: VICINITY MAP PROVIDED IN PDR. | |
| 49 | Indicate the design recurrence interval for storm pipe infrastructure. | | |
| 50 | State whether storm infrastructure is public or private, and who will be responsible for maintenance. These requirements can be addressed with the following note from SDDTC Section 2.6: All storm infrastructure is [private/public] and designed for the [design recurrence interval] storm event. | | JVA RESPONSE: PDP NOW 3 PAGES AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH MINIMUM SCALE. |
| 51 | Plan sheets with minimum scales as follows: Single family detached: 1" = 50' Multifamily: 1" = 30' Commercial and Industrial: Building footprint less than 500,000 ft ² : 1" = 30' Building footprint greater than 500,000 ft ² : 1" = 50' | | Not in compliance. However, provided scale is appropriate at this moment. Request a variance for scale. City reserves the right to require a larger scale in the future |
| | If the entire site doesn't fit on a single plan sheet at the required scale, a sheet index must a | JVA RESPONSE: ADDITIONAL LIDAR CONTOURS PROVIDED IN PDP | |
| 52 | Existing topography at a 2-foot contour in sufficient coverage to compare existing and proposed drainage basins (on-site and off-site). Contours must be | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Item | Description | Included | Not Applicable |
|------|--|--|----------------|
| | extended a minimum of 50-feet beyond the property lines or further as necessary to clearly identify off-site drainage patterns and show the tie-in between the proposed grading and existing topography. | | |
| 53 | Flow direction arrows with slope in percent for proposed grading and off-site areas. | JVA RESPONSE: SLOPE ARROWS PROVIDED | |
| 54 | Drainage basin boundaries and design points. | JVA RESPONSE: DESIGN POINTS PROVIDED | |
| 55 | Table with basin identifier, basin area (acres), major and minor runoff coefficients, percent imperviousness, and design points for all basins and design points. | JVA RESPONSE: TABLE WITH BASIN IDENTIFIERS, AREAS, MAJOR AND MINOR RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS, PERCENT IMPERVIOUSNESS, AND MAJOR AND MINOR RUNOFFS PROVIDED ON PDP. | |
| 56 | Floodplain information, including the 1% and floodway limits and BFEs, if available from FHADs, or other Best Available Information. | | |
| 57 | If any work is planned within the floodplain, include note from SDDTC Section 2.6 (Floodplain Development Permit): Applicant understands that work in 100-year Floodplain requires a Floodplain Development Permit which must be obtained prior to grading or construction within the floodplain. | | |
| 58 | Stream Management Corridors. Label corridor widths and identify conceptual locations for grade control and bank revetment structures. | | |
| 59 | Flow direction arrows with slope in percent for proposed grading and off-site areas. | JVA RESPONSE: EDN ADDED FOR EXISTING FACILITY | |
| 60 | Location of all existing drainage facilities and public improvements. Include the size and EDN or RSN for each existing facility. | | |
| 61 | Labeling of all proposed drainage facilities. The design storm frequency and maintenance responsibility for each proposed drainage facility must be indicated. If privately maintained, note party responsible for maintenance. A general note covering the above can be placed on all plans in lieu of labeling all facilities. | | |
| 62 | Existing and proposed detention pond locations. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For each pond, label the WQCV, EURV, and 100-year storage volumes and WSELs. Note the allowable release rates, maximum depths, ponding limits, and any other water quality SCM data as needed for the proposed SCM. | JVA RESPONSE: WQCV, EURV, AND 100-YR VOLUMES AND WSELs ADDED. | |
| 63 | For proposed ponds, provide sufficient detail in graphical or numerical format to verify that freeboard requirements of SDDTC Section 10.9.4 are satisfied. e.g. spillway cross section | JVA RESPONSE: SPILLWAY CROSS SECTION ADDED. | |
| 64 | For proposed slopes for access paths and easements to maintenance (access to pond and pond easement) e.g. spillway cross section | JVA RESPONSE: SLOPES FOR ACCESS TO POND AND POND EASEMENT ADDED. | |
| 65 | Location and direction of all emergency overflows for sumps, culverts, bridges, and detention ponds. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emergency overflow arrows must use a unique symbol. Label all inlets in sump. | | |
| 66 | For each emergency overflow location, include a cross section with the emergency overflow discharge, WSEL, and adjacent building lowest point of e | JVA RESPONSE: CROSS SECTIONS INCLUDED FOR EACH EMERGENCY OVERFLOW LOCATION. | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Item | Description | Included | Not Applicable |
|------|--|---|----------------|
| 67 | <p>Preliminary plan and profiles for open channels.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note the longitudinal slope on both the plan and profiles. Include a typical cross section for each channel section which notes the major and minor design storm discharges, WSELs, dimensioned freeboard, and adjacent building lowest floor elevation (LFE). Define maintenance responsibilities if not included as general <p>JVA RESPONSE: CROSS SECTIONS FOR EACH SWALE AND PAN ADDED TO APPENDIX.</p> <p>on of any erosion protection and</p> <p>verts, etc., that cross the channel.</p> | | |
| 68 | <p>Typical cross section(s) for each swale and/or ditch.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note the major and minor design storm discharges, WSELs or flow depth, dimensioned freeboard, and adjacent building LPE. Include a note identifying all swales and/or ditches as privately maintained. | | |
| 69 | Existing and proposed outfall location(s). Include reference label to applicable report/plan denoting projected received flows. | | |
| 70 | Labeling of any interim infrastructure. Do not include Stormwater Master Plan (SWMP) SCMs. | | |
| 71 | Project phasing as applicable. If necessary, include plan sheets for both interim and ultimate conditions, with relevant hydrologic and hydraulic information adjusted to match each condition. | | |
| 72 | Proposed buildings and Lowest Floor Elevations (LFEs) for commercial and multi-family sites. Note the Lowest Point of Entry (LPE) for structures near emergency overflow paths and swales/ditches. | | |
| 73 | Labeling of proposed retaining walls. Include preliminary cross section with maximum height, and ROW/property lines. | | |
| 74 | Existing and proposed easements (drainage, utility, fire lane, etc.), property lines, and ROW. Dimension all easements and ROWs and label all roadways. Identify any necessary license agreements. | <p>JVA RESPONSE: EXISTING AND PROPOSED EASEMENTS AND ROWs LABELED.</p> <p>None labeled / provided</p> | |
| 75 | Labeling of all adjacent properties, subdivisions, developments, etc. Include the platted subdivision names where applicable. Note the EDN or RSN where applicable. Provide CoA jurisdictional boundaries as applicable. | | |
| 76 | SWMM model schematic diagram depicting all drainage basins, conveyance elements, storage elements, junctions etc., if applicable. May be included in report, as long as the schematic is clear. | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| Key Storm Drainage Criteria to Verify (Based on commonly seen errors) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Hydrologic Analysis | | | |
| 77 | Basin boundaries correspond to existing topography or future site layout and grading has been incorporated. See comments in notes | | |
| <u>Rational Method (Where applicable)</u> | | | |
| 78 | Rational method is appropriate for the | JVA RESPONSE: BASIN BOUNDARIES CORRESPOND TO FUTURE SITE LAYOUT. | |
| 79 | Point Rainfall Depth per NOAA Atlas 14 | | |
| 80 | Imperviousness values based on SDDTC Table 5-6. | | |
| 81 | Runoff coefficients computed per SDDTC 5.3.2, based on Hydrologic Soil Groups. | | |
| 82 | Time of concentration calculations comply with SDDTC and MHFD criteria. | | |
| 83 | Accumulated and routed flows are calculated at appropriate design points. | | |
| <u>CUHP (Where applicable)</u> | | | |
| 84 | Depression loss values correspond to MHFD and COA criteria. | | |
| 85 | Infiltration method parameters correspond to SDDTC 5.3.3. | | |
| 86 | Imperviousness values based on SDDTC Table 5-6. | | |
| 87 | Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA) Level is accurate. <i>Use 0 unless distributed LID measures utilized throughout watershed.</i> | | |
| 88 | 100% imperviousness used for WQCV portion of detention ponds. | | |
| <u>SWMM (Where applicable)</u> | | | |
| 89 | Pond storage curves in SWMM match curves on plans and calculations. | | |
| 90 | Pond discharge curves in SWMM match curves on plans and calculations. | | |
| 91 | CUHP output hydrographs are applied to the correct SWMM nodes. | | |
| 92 | Link connectivity in SWMM model is correct. | | |
| 93 | Continuity error is insignificant. | | |
| 94 | Kinematic wave method is used. | | |
| Hydraulic Analysis | | | |
| <u>New or modified ponds</u> | | | |
| 95 | Applicable detention, EURV and water quality requirements are met. | | |
| 96 | Volumes computed by appropriate methods. See SDDTC Table 10-2. | | |

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| 97 | Pond footprint shown based on preliminary grading. | | |
| 98 | Proposed pond should not classify as a jurisdictional dam. | | |
| 99 | Proposed ponds located near airports identify and apply requirements in SDDTC 10.14. | | |
| 100 | Detention and water quality provided per requirements in SDDTC 10.3 | | |
| 101 | Preliminary grading complies with requirements of SDDTC 10.9.1. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Embankment and side slopes not steeper than 4:1 • Pond bottom slopes 2% or greater • Earthen embankments cover with minimum 6" of topsoil and revegetated. • Top width of embankment minimum 10' wide if used for access. Otherwise, minimum 5'. • Groundwater considered. • Located outside floodplain. | | |
| 102 | Preliminary grading includes maintenance features specified in SDDTC 10.7. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drainage easement(s) provided for all pond components. • Maintenance access provided to all pond components. • All-weather, stable surface provided for maintenance access. • Geometric requirements met for maintenance access. • Avoid crossing low-flow channel. • Storage and staging areas provided for maintenance. • Regional facilities meet SDDTC and MHFD requirements. • Multi-use access meets other departments' requirements/ • I&M plan provided. | Access to top of outlet structure outside of 100yr and access easements as needed | label turning radii |
| <u>Use of existing ponds</u> | | | |
| 103 | If an existing pond is being expanded or changed due to area change, percent impervious increase or some other aspect of the pond is being changed, that pond must be brought up to current design standards. | | |
| <u>Streets/Alleys</u> | | | |
| 104 | Only Type R inlets are allowed within right-of-way. Other inlet types may be used on private property. | | |
| 105 | Inlets are located per the requirements in SDDTC 6.3.3. | | |
| <u>Storm Sewer System</u> | | | |
| 106 | When connecting to an existing storm sewer pipe, call out the offsite flow rate projected for the receiving storm sewer system at the point of connection and reference the name and date of the study. If no previous study exists, analysis showing adequate capacity must be performed by Applicant and provided with MDR. | | |

JVA RESPONSE: MAINTENANCE OF ALL POND COMPONENTS IS PERFORMED BY APS, UNDER THEIR MS4. TRIBUTARY AREA TO THE POND IS LESS THAN 5 ACRES AND 4:1 ACCESS IS PROVIDED AT TOP OF OUTLET STRUCTURE PER AURORA STANDARDS.

JVA RESPONSE: RADII LABELED ON PDP

Provide on plan and highlight in report

JVA RESPONSE: Q100 OF EXISTING PIPE LABELED IN PLAN

Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) Checklist

| | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| <u>Culverts</u> | | | |
| 107 | All culverts must be designed to pass the 100-year peak flow, subject to the allowable headwater depth criteria in SDDTC 9.4.4. | | |
| 108 | No road overtopping is allowed up to and including the 100-year event. | | |
| 109 | When box culverts reach or exceed a width of 8 feet, the minimum height must be 6 feet. | | |
| 110 | An emergency overflow path must be provided above the opening of each culvert. See SDDTC 9.4.8 for details. | | |
| <u>Open Channels</u> | | | |
| 111 | Criteria relative to the classification of stream (major, minor, swale/ditch) are met. See SDDTC 7.2. | | |
| 112 | Discharges from hydrologic study are applied to the proper cross-sections in hydraulic analysis. | | |
| <u>Floodplains</u> | | | |
| 113 | Correct and most up-to-date floodplain information is shown on the plans. | | |
| 114 | Source documents, such as a FIRMette, are including in the report appendix. | | |
| 115 | Applicable floodplain requirements, particularly requirements for minimum LFE and/or LPE are identified and implemented to residential lots and/or commercial buildings in report and plan. | | |
| 116 | Setback requirements are identified in report and plan. See SDDTC 4.5.2. | | |
| <u>Overall</u> | | | |
| 117 | Descriptions, elevations, dimensions, specifications, etc. must be consistent in all parts of the submittal, including report narrative, calculations, and plan sheets. | | |

JVA RESPONSE: ELEVATIONS,
DIMENSIONS, ETC. ARE
CONSISTENT THROUGHOUT
ALL PARTS OF SUBMITTAL

See comments in notes