



Planning Division
15151 E. Alameda Parkway, Ste. 2300
Aurora, Colorado 80012
phone 303.739.7217

AuroraGov.org

July 15, 2024

Steven Marshall
Transport Colorado LLC
1331 17th Street, Ste #1000
Denver, CO 80202

Re: Fourth Submission Review: Transport Colorado – Sub-Area 2 – Master Plan
Application Number: DA-1793-05
Case Number: 2005-7008-06

Dear Mr. Marshall:

Thank you for your recent submission. We reviewed it and attached our comments along with this cover letter. The first section of our review highlights our major comments. The following sections contain more specific comments, including those received from other city departments and community members.

Since several important issues still remain, you will need to make another submission. Please revise your previous work and send us a new submission as feasible.

Your Administrative Decision date will be determined based on your next resubmittal addressing outstanding comments.

Note that all our comments are numbered. When you resubmit, include a comment response letter specifically responding to each item. The Planning Department reserves the right to reject any resubmissions that fail to address these items. If you have made any other changes to your documents other than those requested, be sure to also specifically list them in your letter.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns. I can be reached at, 303.739.7541 or rrabbaa@auroragov.org.

Sincerely,

Rachid Rabbaa, Planner II
City of Aurora Planning Department

cc: Jennifer Carpenter – LAI Design Group 88 Inverness Circle East, Building J, Ste. #101 Englewood, CO 80112
Jacob Cox, ODA
Filed: K:\\$DA\1793-05rev4.rtf



Fourth Submission Review

SUMMARY OF KEY COMMENTS FROM ALL DEPARTMENTS

- Tab # 13 Repeat: identify a trigger for the design of the bridge. See comments and redlines from Engineering regarding the PIP. (Item 4)
- See comments and redlines from Traffic Engineering regarding the TIA, PIP, and TAB 8. (Item 5)
- See the attached comments from CDOT. (Item 6)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

Reviewed by: Rachid Rabbaa rrabbaa@auroragov.org / 303-739-7541 / PDF comment color is teal.

1. Community Comments

1A. Please see the CDOT comments. PDF

2. Completeness and Clarity of the Application

Tab #13 - Public Improvement Plan.

2A. See comments 4A to 4C below from Engineering.

3. Zoning, Land Use Comments, and Transportation Issues

3A. No additional comments.

REFERRAL COMMENTS FROM OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

4. Civil Engineering Reviewed by: Julie Bingham, jbingham@auroragov.org / 303-739-7403 / Comments in green.

Tab 13 (PIP)

- 4A. Repeat: identify a trigger for the design of the bridge.
- 4B. The design for the bridge over Bear Gulch should be triggered by the construction of Bear Gulch improvements OR 70% of traffic volume is met on 48th. (LOS E).
- 4C. Please remove this statement entirely from the PIP. A deferral can be considered for the construction of 48th if traffic volumes don't warrant the construction, but this would be considered at the site plan level. 48th Avenue should be identified as required for the adjacent planning areas in the PIP.

5. Traffic Engineering Reviewed by: Steven Gomez / segomez@auroragov.org / 303-739- 7336 / Comments in gold.

Traffic Impact Analysis

- 5A. Update ALL signalized LOS, delay and queues to be consistent with worksheets, graphics, etc.
- 5B. Review and update ALL text to be consistent with latest traffic volumes access, configuration, intersection laneage, etc.
- 5C. Update signal timing and analysis per comments.
- 5D. See comments throughout report.

PIP

- 5E. Verify intersection control with TIS.
- 5F. Show signalized intersection on Plan sheets, typ.

TAB#8

5G. Add note to PIP.

6. Department of Transportation: Reviewed by Steve Loeffler / steven.loeffler@state.co.us

- 6A. Comments are attached. ***Respond to each major item specifically in your comment response letter and make revisions as necessary.***
- 6B. A comment response letter is REQUIRED.

Traffic & Safety

Region 1

2829 W Howard Place, 2nd Floor
Denver, Colorado 80204



COLORADO
Department of Transportation
Region 1

Project Name: Port Subarea 2 - Transport Colorado

Print Date: 7/11/2024

Highway: 36

Mile Marker: 80.813

A comment response letter is REQUIRED along with the next submittal.

Review POC: loefflers

Environmental Comments:

Once File Search results are received Environmental review will continue.

For ANY ground disturbance/work within CDOT ROW---

Required:

Arch/History/Paleo:

Since this is a permit, a file search for Arch, Paleo and History is required. If the file search identifies anything, a more extensive report will be required. If nothing is identified, then the file search should be sufficient. For the file search contact:

Cultural/History File Search: <https://www.historycolorado.org/file-access> Email: hc_filesearch@state.co.us

Paleo File Search: Colorado University Museum of Natural History - <https://www.colorado.edu/cumuseum/research-collections/paleontology/policies-procedure>) Email: jacob.vanveldhuizen@colorado.edu and from the Denver Museum of Nature and Science – Email: kristen.mackenzie@dmns.org <https://www.dmns.org/science/earth-sciences/earth-sciences-collections/>

If there is NO ground disturbance within CDOT ROW, the applicant shall submit an email/memo to the R1 Environmental Permit Review Specialist stating this.

Permits Comments:

7.1.24 The Traffic Impact Analysis needs to be stamped by the Engineer from Felsburg Holt & Ullevig. -- Aaron Eyl 7.1.24

I have no comments at this time as it appears no work will be done in the CDOT ROW. Any work in the CDOT ROW requires CDOT permits. RLW July 8 2024

Traffic Comments:

7-9-2024 - Chris Bland

- (1) Under II.B. Roadway System, regarding I-70, believe consideration should be given to mentioning the existing Watkins Road interchange since this interchange, like Manila Road, is also near the project site.
- (2) Under II.B. Roadway System, regarding US 36, believe consideration should be given to mentioning some of the more major intersecting roadways, including, Imboden Road and Manila Road.
- (3) Under VI Summary and Recommendations, regarding the mentioning of accessing I-70 via a planned interchange at Imboden Road / Quail Run Road, believe consideration should be given to also mentioning the existing interchanges near the project site, namely Watkins Road and Manila Road. In addition, consideration should be given to mentioning how these interchanges will be developed in conjunction with the proposed developments and projected traffic volumes and in harmony with the CDOT 1601 process and related ongoing corridor-wide TDM planning documents such as the I-70 East Corridor Multimodal TDM Plan.
- (4) Under VI Summary and Recommendations, believe consideration should be given to mentioning that Port Colorado will also have access to US 36 via planned improvements at several intersections.

7-9-2024